

Torun, May 2, 1976.

Dear Professor da Costa,

I received four days ago your letter of April 7 and exactly the next day, your letter of April 14 and your manuscript § 5.A Semantical characterization of M-55.

I liked your manuscript very much. I think it is more interesting than all that what I've sent you. You know, my collaboration with you is an honour for me and I am very glad that we will present our common paper in the Symposium of Campinas. So, of course, I accept points 1.1) and 2) of your proposal contained in your letter of April 7. I think that our joint paper will be not wrong and it will give general information about the discursive logics and about problems connected with them.

You have written in your last letter that you can modify the presented in your manuscript semantical method in order to obtain semantics for all systems discussed in our paper. This fact is really very important and very interesting for me.

I am working just now about connections between the problem of Jaskowski and the logics of Tarskiewicz. I hope that I'll obtain some results regarded with

the problem till my arrival to São Paulo. and, perhaps, we will can elaborate our next joint paper during my staying in São Paulo. I've thought a long time about an axiomatization of $\mathcal{L}_{a,b}$ and I have still many difficulties with the problem. I suppose, the method of axiomatization ~~of~~ for \mathcal{I}_3 can't be transferred on $\mathcal{L}_{a,b}$ for $a \neq b$ and $a \neq 0 \neq b$.

I've obtained an assurance that I would buy a ticket on a flight Lima - Warsaw. So, I hope that I can visit Cusco (which is very interesting for me) and the most fine city in the world - Rio de Janeiro.

Please give my best regards to Dr Arruda.

Cordially

J. Kotas.

P.S. Because the paper is common then our lecture in the symposium must also be common, I think.